

From: [Liu, Yi-Kai](#)
To: [Moody, Dustin](#)
Subject: Re: Outline for PQCrypto announcement
Date: Thursday, January 14, 2016 1:57:24 AM

Hi Dustin,

Thanks for putting that together! I think it looks pretty good. One suggestion is to make a slide with a list of questions that we would like to ask the PQCrypto community:

- How is the timeline? Too fast? Too slow?
- Should all the proposals be on the same schedule, or should we try to "fast-track" those proposals that seem more mature?
- Should we just focus on encryption and signatures, or should we also consider other functionalities like stateful signatures and key establishment?
- How many "bits of security" do we need against quantum attacks?
- How can we encourage more work on quantum cryptanalysis? Maybe we could pose some more "challenge problems"?
- If we want to standardize some post-quantum cryptosystem that has worse parameters (such as key length) than our currently-deployed crypto, this may have consequences for higher-level protocols and applications. How can we encourage people to study these issues? For instance, I would feel more confident if we had some more prototype implementations of post-quantum TLS and IKE protocols.
- And probably lots of other questions...

Thanks,

--Yi-Kai

From: Moody, Dustin
Sent: Wednesday, January 13, 2016 2:28 PM
To: Liu, Yi-Kai
Subject: Outline for PQCrypto announcement

Yi-Kai,

Sorry for sending lots of emails to you. I thought I'd run things by you first, before sending them around to the group. Here's an outline I created for the PQcrypto announcement. I haven't worried about if this all fits in 30 minutes, or how much time to spend on what parts, or anything like

that. I just tried to think of everything we might want to mention. I think if we come up with a good outline, it will help us make sure our plan for the process is missing anything, and we can write section 4 of the NISTIR better.

Anyway, this is pretty rough, but a place to start.

Dustin

- 1) Motivation (not a lot needed for this audience)
 - a. Quote estimate of time til quantum computers, “Mosca’s Theorem” (as to why we need to start now)
 - b. Impact on PKC / (NIST) standards
 - i. Can mention our NISTIR
 - c. Mention NSA’s statement? (not sure about this) EU’s project?
- 2) NIST Call
 - a. Idea of Rene’s statement (we want to manage process, hopefully help community to identify good choices...)
 - i. Talk about similarities/differences between this and AES/SHA-3 competitions? (not sure about this)
 - b. Timeline
 - i. Formal call out by late 2016. (SHOULD WE PICK A DATE?)
 - ii. Deadline for Submissions, late 2017 (DATE?)
 - iii. Workshop ?? not too long after deadline
 - iv. Analysis phase, 3-5 years
 1. Do we want rounds?? Announce workshops?
 2. We will issue report at end (or after round? Or halfway through?)
 - v. Draft standard for public comment, 2 years later (DATE?)
 - vi. Can accept submissions on ongoing basis (like modes), but...
 - c. Maybe here would be better to talk about difference from competition? (if we want to)
- 3) More details
 - a. Detailed instructions will be similar to SHA-3
 - i. Parameter sets, target security levels
 - ii. Specification/code
 1. API instructions?
 2. Call approved symmetric primitives?
 3. Implementations
 - a. C code
 - b. Known answer / Monte-Carlo tests
 - b. Evaluation criteria (will be open for public comment?)
 - i. Security analysis
 1. What are the right security definitions?
 2. Algorithm complexity definitions
 3. Security proofs not required?
 4. Quality of prior cryptanalysis
 - ii. Performance analysis

1. Parameters & key sizes
2. Time to perform operations
- iii. Practical deployment
 1. Ease of implementation, ease of use, misuse resistance
- iv. IPR stuff (not sure if here or in 3a)
 1. If can make license free than it's a big advantage, but not required
- 4) Conclusion
 - a. Restate our role in managing process
 - b. We don't have all the answers. We want feedback
 - c. Contact info (pqc@nist.gov – NSA gets this email as well. Need new email?)
 - i. Mention pqc – forum for discussion