

From: [Moody, Dustin \(Fed\)](#)
To: [Jordan, Stephen P \(Fed\)](#)
Subject: RE: polishing the CFP
Date: Tuesday, May 10, 2016 8:00:00 AM
Attachments: [CFP v9.1.docx](#)

From: Jordan, Stephen P (Fed)
Sent: Monday, May 09, 2016 3:57 PM
To: Moody, Dustin (Fed) <dustin.moody@nist.gov>
Subject: Re: polishing the CFP

Could you send me the current word document? I'm not sure whether I have it.

Thanks,
Stephen

From: Moody, Dustin (Fed)
Sent: Monday, May 9, 2016 2:28 PM
To: Jordan, Stephen P (Fed)
Subject: RE: polishing the CFP

[Thanks!](#)

From: Jordan, Stephen P (Fed)
Sent: Monday, May 09, 2016 2:04 PM
To: Moody, Dustin (Fed) <dustin.moody@nist.gov>
Cc: Liu, Yi-Kai (Fed) <yi-kai.liu@nist.gov>
Subject: Re: polishing the CFP

I'd be willing to take a crack at it. I'll make sure that changes are tracked so they can be reverted easily.

Best regards,
Stephen

From: Moody, Dustin (Fed)
Sent: Monday, May 9, 2016 1:39 PM
To: Jordan, Stephen P (Fed)
Cc: Liu, Yi-Kai (Fed)
Subject: polishing the CFP

Stephen,

Lily read through the CFP one more time, and asked if we can do a once-over on it to polish it up a bit, so it doesn't appear so much that it was written by different people. She mentioned that some areas are very technical and dry, while in other places the writing is more lively and informal. What do you think? We thought you would do a good job of "polishing" the CFP. Would you be able to do so? I don't think it's an urgent priority, but it would be nice to do before we post it publicly in a month or so. Let me know. Thanks,

Dustin